IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF(SAJ)
)
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER WITH RESPECT TO DEFENDANT SIMMONS FOODS, INC.'S NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY GENERAL W. A. DREW EDMONDSON

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma, and Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment, C. Miles Tolbert, in his capacity as the Trustee for Natural Resources for the State of Oklahoma under CERCLA, (hereinafter "the State"), and respectfully submits this reply in further support of its "Motion for Protective Order with Respect to Defendant Simmons Foods, Inc.'s Notice of Deposition of Oklahoma Attorney General W.A. Drew Edmondson." [DKT #1033]

Defendant Simmons Foods' assertion that Attorney General Edmondson is acting as a plaintiff in the case is contrary to both the law and the facts. Attorney General Edmondson is the lead attorney for the State in this action. Depositions of counsel are strongly disfavored, and Defendant Simmons Foods has failed to establish any of the exceptional circumstances required to justify Attorney General Edmondson's deposition. Additionally, Defendant Simmons Foods' contention that the "top government official" rule is limited to only federal officials is not supported in the law. Depositions of top government officials are disfavored, and here too

Defendant Simmons Foods has failed to establish any of the compelling reasons required to justify Attorney General Edmondson's deposition. Accordingly, the State's Motion for Protective Order prohibiting the deposition of Attorney General Edmondson must be granted.

I. Argument

A. Attorney General Edmondson is not a plaintiff in this case; rather, he is the lead attorney for the State

Defendant Simmons Foods asserts, without citing to any legal authority, that Attorney General Edmondson is a plaintiff in this case. See Simmons Foods' Response, p. 7. In making this assertion Defendant Simmons Foods simply ignores the manner in which the case was brought: "State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma " See DKT #2. In its Motion for Protective Order, pp. 2-3, the State has set forth legal authority -- uncontested by Defendant Simmons Foods in its response -- that when a case is brought "ex rel." the attorney general, the State is the real party in interest and plaintiff. Indeed, the entire basis of Defendant Simmons Foods' argument that Attorney General Edmondson is a plaintiff in the case appears to rest on the fact that he is the ultimate decision-maker for the State regarding issues in the case, including issues of whether to settle or not. See Simmons Foods' Response, p. 7. Defendant Simmons Foods fails to understand Oklahoma law. Under Oklahoma law, "[t]he Attorney General has authority to bring lawsuits by virtue of 74 O.S. 1971 § 18b and to assume and control the prosecution thereof in the state's best interest. It must logically follow that he has authority to compromise and dismiss the suit." State ex rel. Derryberry v. Kerr-McGee Corp., 516 P.2d 813, 818 (Okla. 1973). That Attorney General Edmondson has this authority does not change the fact that he is the lead lawyer in this case for the State. Nor does it make him a plaintiff.

B. Defendant Simmons Foods has not made the showing of exceptional circumstances required to justify the deposition of Attorney General Edmondson

Despite Defendant Simmons Foods' protests to the contrary, the courts of this circuit <u>do</u> use the *Shelton* factors to evaluate the propriety of requests to depose opposing counsel. *See Boughton v. Cotter Corporation*, 65 F.3d 823, 830 (10th Cir. 1995) ("the trial court at least has the <u>discretion</u> under Rule 26(c) to issue a protective order against the deposition of opposing counsel when any one or more of the three *Shelton* criteria for deposition listed above are <u>not</u> met") (emphasis in original); *Thiessen v. General Electric Capital Corporation*, 267 F.3d 1095,

Defendant Simmons Foods' efforts to analogize a deposition of Attorney General Edmondson to a deposition of Mr. Randy Allen fall flat. See Simmons Foods' Response, pp. 3 & 8-10. In contrast to Mr. Allen, a non-attorney and a fact witness, when Attorney General Edmondson speaks on matters pertaining to this case, he is speaking as the lead attorney for the State in this case and as attorney general. See, e.g., Hultman v. Blumenthal, 787 A.2d 666, 674 (Conn. App. 2002) ("One of the attorney general's implied duties is . . . to give updates to the public concerning the cases handled by his office. As an elected constitutional official, the attorney general has a duty to inform the public of the matters occurring in his office. As an elected constitutional official, his duty to the public may include expressions of his opinion about civil legal matters over which he has general supervision") (citation omitted); Gold Seal Chinchillas, Inc. v. State of Washington, 420 P.2d 698, 701 (Wash. 1966) (". . . the Attorney General, as an elected officer of cabinet rank in state government, has an implicit duty by virtue of his position to inform the people of the state of Washington of actions taken in his official capacity").

Courts have found that even where an attorney is not counsel of record in a case, the attorney may still be viewed as "opposing counsel." *See, e.g., Epling v. UCB Films, Inc.*, 204 F.R.D. 691, 693-94 (D. Kan. 2001).

1112 fn 15 (10th Cir. 2001) ("Shelton was adopted by this court in Boughton v. Cotter Corp., 65 F.3d 823, 830 (10th Cir. 1995)"); In re Muskogee Environmental Conservation Company, 221 B.R. 526, 529 (Bankr. N.D. Okla. 1998) ("[T]he taking of the deposition of opposing counsel should only be allowed where the party seeking to take the deposition has established [the Shelton criteria]"); Simmons Foods v. Willis, 191 F.R.D. 625, 630 (D. Kan. 2000) (applying Shelton criteria). Moreover, it is important to note that the Shelton factors merely provide the minimum showing that is required in order to take the deposition of an opposing counsel. As explained in Simmons Foods, "the Boughton court declined to hold that a court must permit parties to depose opposing counsel upon a showing that the three [Shelton] factors are satisfied. As interpreted by this Court, Boughton dictates that, even when a party satisfies all three of the Shelton factors, courts may prohibit such depositions 'in other appropriate situations.'" 191 F.R.D. at 630.

With respect to the first of the *Shelton* factors — that the <u>only</u> means of obtaining information is through deposition of opposing counsel — Defendant Simmons Foods utterly fails to carry its burden. Indeed, Defendant Simmons Foods never clearly sets out precisely what information it seeks from Attorney General Edmondson. Rather, Defendant Simmons Foods' stated grounds for the sought-after deposition are that "Simmons wants to ask questions of a representative of Plaintiffs [sic]," and that "Simmons wants to ask General Edmondson what he knows about various topics involved in the lawsuit." *See* Simmons Foods' Response, p. 2.4 As

Contrary to Defendant Simmons Foods' suggestion, *see* Simmons Foods' Response, p. 11, the burden of establishing that all three of the *Shelton* factors are satisfied is on the party seeking the deposition. *See Simmons Foods*, 191 F.R.D. at 630.

Defendant Simmons Foods also later states that "only General Edmondson can speak concerning his motivation and reasoning to work with Defendants." *See* Simmons Foods' Response, p. 15. As noted in *United States v. Northside Realty Associates*, 324 F.Supp. 287, 293

to this first ground, the appropriate approach for Defendant Simmons Foods is to serve a 30(b)(6) deposition notice.⁵ to identify appropriate persons listed on the State's Rule 26(a) disclosure, or to serve a written discovery request. As to the second ground, Defendant Simmons Foods' amorphous reason falls far short of that necessary to justify the deposition of the State's lead attorney.

With respect to the second of the Shelton factors -- that the information is relevant and non-privileged -- given that Defendant Simmons Foods has not identified with any specificity the information it seeks in this deposition, it is impossible to know if the information would be relevant and non-privileged. All that one can know is that in light of the vagueness and breadth of Defendant Simmons Foods' stated grounds for the deposition, the sought-after deposition has all the hallmarks of an improper fishing expedition. If Defendant Simmons truly has specific areas of legitimate non-privileged inquiry, it can do as Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc. did and serve written discovery. See Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc. November 2, 2006 Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiffs (request for information supporting statement by Attorney General Edmondson). The State can then evaluate the discovery for relevancy, privilege, etc. and provide appropriate responses and objections.

⁽N.D. Ga. 1971), "[i]t has been recognized that a member of the Cabinet or the head of a large executive department should not be called upon to give his deposition if such deposition is taken in order to probe the mind of the official to determine why he exercised his discretion as he did in regard to a particular matter." (Citations omitted.) This prohibition is doubly warranted where the official is the lead attorney in a lawsuit, since such inquiry would clearly and impermissibly invade the attorney's thought processes which are protected by the work product doctrine. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3).

Defendant Simmons Foods asks, "Who does one depose to learn more?" Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) serves precisely this purpose.

With respect to the third of the *Shelton* factors — that the information is crucial to the preparation of the case — Defendant Simmons Foods asserts that "Plaintiffs [sic] have sued Simmons and others . . . but without (so far) disclosing to defendants any detailed factual basis for the lawsuit." *See* Simmons Foods' Response, p. 16. Not only is this assertion lacking in any foundation, but also Defendant Simmons Foods fails to explain precisely what non-privileged, relevant information in the <u>sole</u> possession of Attorney General Edmondson is crucial to the preparation of Defendant Simmons Foods' case.

Simply put, depositions of opposing counsel are strongly disfavored. See, e.g., In re

Muskogee Environmental Conservation Company, 221 B.R. at 532; Simmons Foods, 191 F.R.D.

at 630. Defendant Simmons Foods, however, has turned this principle on its head, asserting that

"[Attorney General Edmondson] is an excellent candidate with which [sic] to start." See

Simmons Foods' Response, p. 16. As demonstrated in its Motion for Protective Order and

above, depositions of opposing counsel are to be allowed, if at all, as a last resort, when there are

no alternatives to securing the necessary information. Defendant Simmons Foods has failed to
satisfy any of the Shelton criteria. The State's Motion for Protective Order should therefore be
granted.

See, generally, Plaintiff State of Oklahoma's Response in Opposition to "Tyson Defendants' Motion to Compel" [DKT #1036]. The State to date (1) has produced more than 300 boxes of documents responsive to the Poultry Integrator Defendants' discovery requests (such documents being in addition to its earlier voluminous voluntary productions and identification of data available on the internet sites of state and federal agencies), (2) has provided extensive indices of the documents being produced, (3) has responded to more than 234 requests for production; (4) has responded to more than 74 interrogatories, (5) has provided a 72-page Rule 26(a) disclosure (which it subsequently supplemented), and (6) turned over approximately 13 boxes of sampling documents and approximately 50 gigabytes of electronic sampling data pursuant to the Court's January 5, 2007 Order. Mere repetition by Defendant Simmons Foods of the unfounded assertion that the Poultry Integrator Defendants do not know the factual basis for the State's lawsuit does not make it true.

C. Defendant Simmons Foods is wrong that the "top government official" rule is limited to federal officials

"Heads of government agencies are not normally subject to deposition." Kyle Engineering Co. v. Kleppe, 600 F.2d 226, 231 (9th Cir. 1979). Defendant Simmons Foods suggests that this rule disfavoring the deposition of top government officials is restricted in its application to only federal officials. See Simmons Foods' Response, pp. 16-17. Defendant Simmons Foods is wrong. This rule applies to state officials as well. For instance, as recently as last year, a federal district court applied this rule to a state attorney general. In California v. United States, 2006 WL 2621647, *1 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2006), the court disallowed the deposition of California Attorney General Bill Lockyer. In that case, the parties seeking the deposition of Attorney General Lockyer argued that he had "stepped into the role of a witness" by submitting a sworn declaration on the merits in support of the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and had been identified by the plaintiffs as a knowledgeable witness as to the merits of their claims. Id. Despite these arguments, the court found that the deposition of Attorney General Lockyer was "not essential." Id. The court went on to explain that "[d]efendants have failed to demonstrate why they cannot seek the information they desire by propounding additional interrogatories or noticing other witnesses for deposition." *Id.*

Despite now having had the opportunity to do so in its response, Defendant Simmons Foods has utterly failed to demonstrate "that the information to be gained from such a deposition is not available from any other source," *Church of Scientology of Boston v. Internal Revenue Service*, 138 F.R.D. 9, 12 (D. Mass. 1990), or that such a deposition "is essential to prevent prejudice or injustice to the party who would require it." *Wirtz v. Local 30, International Union of Operating Engineers*, 34 F.R.D. 13, 14 (S.D.N.Y. 1963). Accordingly, this Court should also grant the State's Motion for Protective Order on the basis of the "top government official" rule.

Conclusion

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the State's motion for a protective order prohibiting the deposition of Attorney General Edmondson should be granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 Attorney General Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 J. Trevor Hammons OBA #20234 Robert D. Singletary OBA #19220 Assistant Attorneys General State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

/s/ M. David Riggs

M. David Riggs OBA #7583 Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371 Richard T. Garren OBA #3253 Douglas A. Wilson OBA #13128 Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010 Robert A. Nance OBA #6581 D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641 Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis 502 West Sixth Street Tulsa, OK 74119 (918) 587-3161

James Randall Miller, OBA #6214 Louis Werner Bullock, OBA #1305 Miller Keffer & Bullock 222 S. Kenosha Tulsa, Ok 74120-2421 (918) 743-4460

David P. Page, OBA #6852 Bell Legal Group 222 S. Kenosha Tulsa, OK 74120 (918) 398-6800

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*) Motley Rice, LLC 20 Church Street, 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1676

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of February, 2007, the foregoing document was electronically transmitted to the following:

Jo Nan Allen - jonanallen@yahoo.com bacaviola@yahoo.com

Robert Earl Applegate - hm@holdenokla.com rapplegate@holdenokla.com

Frederick C Baker- fbaker@motleyrice.com; mcarr@motleyrice.com;

fhmorgan@motleyrice.com

Tim Keith Baker - tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net

Sherry P Bartley - sbartley@mwsgw.com jdavis@mwsgw.com

Michael R. Bond - michael.bond@kutakrock.com amy.smith@kutakrock.com

Douglas L Boyd - dboyd31244@aol.com

Vicki Bronson - vbronson@cwlaw.com lphillips@cwlaw.com

Paula M Buchwald - pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com

Louis Werner Bullock - LBULLOCK@MKBLAW.NET

NHODGE@MKBLAW.NET;BDEJONG@MKBLAW.NET

A Michelle Campney - campneym@wwhwlaw.com steelmana@wwhwlaw.com

Michael Lee Carr - hm@holdenoklahoma.com MikeCarr@HoldenOklahoma.com

Bobby Jay Coffman - bcoffman@loganlowry.com

Lloyd E Cole, Jr - colelaw@alltel.net; gloriaeubanks@alltel.net; amy_colelaw@alltel.net

Angela Diane Cotner - AngelaCotnerEsq@yahoo.com

Reuben Davis - rdavis@boonesmith.com

John Brian DesBarres - mrjbdb@msn.com JohnD@wcalaw.com

W A Drew Edmondson - fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us; drew_edmondson@oag.state.ok.us; suzy thrash@oag.state.ok.us.

Delmar R Ehrich - dehrich@faegre.com; etriplett@faegre.com; qsperrazza@faegre.com

John R Elrod - jelrod@cwlaw.com vmorgan@cwlaw.com

William Bernard Federman - wfederman@aol.com; aw@federmanlaw.com; ngb@federmanlaw.com

Bruce Wayne Freeman - bfreeman@cwlaw.com lclark@cwlaw.com

Ronnie Jack Freeman - ifreeman@grahamfreeman.com

Richard T Garren - rgarren@riggsabney.com dellis@riggsabney.com

Dorothy Sharon Gentry - sgentry@riggsabney.com jzielinski@riggsabney.com

Robert W George - robert.george@kutakrock.com; sue.arens@kutakrock.com;

amy.smith@kutakrock.com

Tony Michael Graham - tgraham@grahamfreeman.com

James Martin Graves - igraves@bassettlawfirm.com

Michael D Graves - mgraves@hallestill.com; jspring@hallestill.com; smurphy@hallestill.com

Jennifer Stockton Griffin - jgriffin@lathropgage.com

Carrie Griffith - griffithlawoffice@yahoo.com

John Trevor Hammons thammons@oag.state.ok.us; Trevor_Hammons@oag.state.ok.us;

Jean_Burnett@oag.state.ok.us

Lee M Heath - lheath@motleyrice.com

Michael Todd Hembree - hembreelaw1@aol.com traesmom_mdl@yahoo.com

Theresa Noble Hill - thillcourts@rhodesokla.com mnave@rhodesokla.com

Philip D Hixon - phixon@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com

Mark D Hopson - mhopson@sidley.com joraker@sidley.com

Kelly S Hunter Burch - fc.docket@oag.state.ok.us; kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us; jean_burnett@oag.state.ok.us

Thomas Janer - SCMJ@sbcglobal.net; tjaner@cableone.net; lanaphillips@sbcglobal.net

Stephen L Jantzen - sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com; mantene@ryanwhaley.com;

loelke@ryanwhaley.com

Mackenzie Lea Hamilton Jessie - maci.tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net; tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net; macijessie@yahoo.com

Bruce Jones - bjones@faegre.com; dybarra@faegre.com; jintermill@faegre.com; cdolan@faegre.com

Jay Thomas Jorgensen - jjorgensen@sidley.com

Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee - kklee@faegre.com mlokken@faegre.com

Derek Stewart Allan Lawrence - hm@holdenoklahoma.com;

DerekLawrence@HoldenOklahoma.com

Raymond Thomas Lay - rtl@kiralaw.com dianna@kiralaw.com

Nicole Marie Longwell - nlongwell@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com lvictor@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com

Dara D Mann - dmann@faegre.com kolmscheid@faegre.com

Teresa Brown Marks - teresa.marks@arkansasag.gov; dennis.hansen@arkansasag.gov

Linda C Martin - lmartin@dsda.com mschooling@dsda.com

Archer Scott McDaniel - smcdaniel@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com jwaller@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com

Robert Park Medearis, Jr - medearislawfirm@sbcglobal.net

James Randall Miller - rmiller@mkblaw.net; smilata@mkblaw.net; clagrone@mkblaw.net

Charles Livingston Moulton - Charles. Moulton@arkansasag.gov;

Kendra.Jones@arkansasag.gov

Robert Allen Nance rnance@riggsabney.com jzielinski@riggsabney.com

William H Narwold - bnarwold@motleyrice.com

John Stephen Neas - steve_neas@yahoo.com

George W Owens - gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com ka@owenslawfirmpc.com

David Phillip Page - dpage@edbelllaw.com smilata@edbelllaw.com

Michael Andrew Pollard - mpollard@boonesmith.com kmiller@boonesmith.com

Marcus N Ratcliff - mratcliff@lswsl.com sshanks@lswsl.com

Robert Paul Redemann - rredemann@pmrlaw.net scouch@pmrlaw.net

Melvin David Riggs - driggs@riggsabney.com jsummerlin@riggsabney.com

Randall Eugene Rose - rer@owenslawfirmpc.com ka@owenslawfirmpc.com

Patrick Michael Ryan - pryan@ryanwhaley.com; jmickle@ryanwhaley.com;

amcpherson@ryanwhaley.com

Laura E Samuelson - lsamuelson@lswsl.com lsamuelson@gmail.com

Robert E Sanders - rsanders@youngwilliams.com

David Charles Senger - dsenger@pmrlaw.net; scouch@pmrlaw.net; ntorres@pmrlaw.net

Jennifer Faith Sherrill - jfs@federmanlaw.com; law@federmanlaw.com;

ngb@federmanlaw.com

Robert David Singletary - fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us; robert_singletary@oag.state.ok.us; jean burnett@oag.state.ok.us

Michelle B Skeens - hm@holdenokla.com mskeens@holdenokla.com

William Francis Smith - bsmith@grahamfreeman.com

Monte W Strout - strout@xtremeinet.net

Erin Walker Thompson - Erin. Thompson@kutakrock.com

Colin Hampton Tucker - chtucker@rhodesokla.com scottom@rhodesokla.com

John H Tucker - jtuckercourts@rhodesokla.com mbryce@rhodesokla.com

Kenneth Edward Wagner - kwagner@lswsl.com sshanks@lswsl.com

Elizabeth C Ward - lward@motleyrice.com

Sharon K Weaver - sweaver@riggsabney.com lpearson@riggsabney.com

Timothy K Webster - twebster@sidley.com jwedeking@sidley.com

Gary V Weeks

Terry Wayen West - terry@thewestlawfirm.com

Dale Kenyon Williams, Jr - kwilliams@hallestill.com; jspring@hallestill.com; smurphy@hallestill.com

Edwin Stephen Williams - steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Douglas Allen Wilson - Doug Wilson@riggsabney.com; jsummerlin@riggsabney.com

J Ron Wright - ron@wsfw-ok.com susan@wsfw-ok.com

Elizabeth Claire Xidis - cxidis@motleyrice.com

Lawrence W Zeringue - lzeringue@pmrlaw.net scouch@pmrlaw.net

and was further served upon the following by U.S. Postal Service:

Jim Bagby RR 2, Box 1711 Westville, OK 74965 Gordon W. Clinton Susann Clinton 23605 S Goodnight Ln Welling, OK 74471

Eugene Dill

P O Box 46

Cookson, OK 74424

Marjorie Garman

5116 Highway 10

Tahlequah, OK 74464

James C Geiger

Address Unknown

Thomas C Green

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP

1501 K St NW

Washington, DC 20005

G Craig Heffington

20144 W Sixshooter Rd

Cookson, OK 74427

Cherrie House

William House

P O Box 1097

Stilwell, OK 74960

John E. & Virginia W. Adair Family

Trust

Rt 2 Box 1160

Stilwell, OK 74960

Dorothy Gene Lamb

James Lamb

Route 1, Box 253

Gore, OK 74435

Jerry M Maddux

Selby Connor Maddux Janer

P O Box Z

Bartlesville, OK 74005-5025

Doris Mares

P O Box 46

Cookson, OK 74424

Donna S Parker

Richard E. Parker

34996 S 502 Rd

Park Hill, OK 74451

C Miles Tolbert

Secretary Of The Environment

State Of Oklahoma

3800 North Classen

Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Robin L. Wofford

Rt 2, Box 370

Watts, OK 74964

/s/ M. David Riggs